

United States District Court
District of Massachusetts

DOCKET # 03 CR-10367 MEL

United States of America

vs.

James T. Richards

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

10367

defendant becomes president. His/her ~~new~~ new name will begin with "Pre-". Thus, we might have a Pre-judge Lasker, Pre-judge Wolf, Pre-judge Young, Pre-judge Tawro, Pre-judge Zobel, Pre-judge Gertner, etc.

The judges will say, "You can't talk to us like that. We're judges! We'll throw you in the dungeon! We'll cut off your head; smash your face!"

Nonsense. To defendant, the judges are just a bunch of first-graders PLAYING judges. In fact, any bright first-grader would probably do a better job than whichever judge sits in the high chair in this case; and the U.S. marshals and bailiffs and court clerks are also first-graders, and many of them are bullies.

Americans are supposed to be the good guys, not a bunch of bullies who prejudge presidential elections and vote for one of two spoiled brats who can't even ride bicycles.

Defendant believes that many American judges secretly don't like children, because the children don't show the judges proper respect but instead they ignore the judges, because the judges don't make funny faces or play hide and seek or do other things that are interesting to children. Instead, the judges are boring bullies, and children secretly — and often openly — want to get out of their presence.

and do something interesting rather than sit there all day like idiots listening to a lot of garbage.

True Americans don't prejudge people or their chances to become president. If you're a good American judge, you must hear the facts before ruling on the motion. To that end, defendant hereby incorporates the accompanying ~~memorandum~~ ^{two exhibits} in support of this motion, and hereby avers that the evidence as outlined in the ~~memorandum~~ ^{two exhibits} (in part) shows that supernatural forces that defendant can't fully explain or understand seem to support defendant's presidential candidacy and his quest to become the alpha male of the planet — although defendant is certainly open to other explanations, so long as they are supported by the facts.

Respectfully submitted,
James T. Richards, pro se

July 9, 2004

JAMES T. RICHARDS

N.C.C., PMD-9

200 West St.

Dedham, MA 02027

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, James T. Richards, hereby certify that I sent a copy of the above motion and accompanying memorandum, first class mail, postage, signed, to George E. Toman, U.S. Justice Dept., Capital Division, 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20530-0001 on July 14, 2004. James T. Richards